

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,264	01/14/2005	Joseph Michael Kral	U 015292-0	7489
140 7	590 08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			MAH, CHUCK Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3677	
		DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/501,264	KRAL, JOSEPH MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuck Mah	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 s action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·_ ·· ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		latent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/501,264 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1,

line 22, "a rotatable element" limits the member in singular form and therefore causes inconsistent with "at least one ramp surface" in claim 1 and other dependent claims. For clarity, "a rotatable element", wherever it appears in the claims, should be rewritten as "at least one rotatable element".

Line 25, it cannot understood what "a brake surface" is referring to and how it is structurally and functionally related to the closer.

Line 28, "to separate the first and second parts" readers the claim confusing since the parts are not clearly defined as joint parts.

Line 29, it cannot understood what "an adjacent surface" is referring to and how it is structurally and functionally related to the closer.

In claim 10, line 2, it cannot be understood structurally what "first part engaging longitudinal surfaces" is referring to.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,264 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

In claim 11, lines 1-2, "said first part has said brake surface and said carriage includes a brake member providing a further brake surface" is confusing. The "first part" as disclosed is a subcomponent of the "carriage". It is not clear how the "brake surface" of the carriage is being defined over the "brake surface" of its subcomponent.

In claim 14, line 3, "the first brake parts" is not understood.

In claim 19, lines 2-3 "said arm axis" is not understood.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: structure enables the lever to perform "that torque applied to said lever is substantially constant". "configured" does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. A mere arcuate surface does not enable one skilled in the art to understand the invention structurally.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3677

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (571)272-7059. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on (571)272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677 1

CM